

Virtual Reality vs. Augmented Virtuality in Procedural Training: Impact on Kinesthetic Memory and Skill Retention

Advisor: Pr. Franck GECHTER (PhD, MSc, Eng.)

Context

Advancements in immersive technologies have increasingly shaped the scientific understanding of skill acquisition and procedural learning. Virtual Reality (VR) and Augmented Virtuality (AV) have emerged as two pivotal modalities for procedural training, leveraging haptic feedback to enhance motor learning and cognitive processing. VR immerses users in fully synthetic environments where haptic devices—such as force-feedback gloves and exoskeletons—simulate tactile sensations, allowing for the safe and controlled rehearsal of complex tasks. Such systems have been extensively studied in surgical training, industrial maintenance, and rehabilitation, demonstrating improvements in precision, task accuracy, and decision-making through enhanced sensorimotor engagement. In contrast, AV situates users in virtual environments augmented by tangible, real-world objects that provide natural tactile interaction. By integrating physical objects into predominantly virtual spaces, AV minimizes the reliance on simulated feedback and exploits embodied cognition, wherein motor learning is grounded in real-world sensory experiences. This hybrid approach has been shown to reinforce kinesthetic memory—defined as the ability to recall and replicate bodily movements—which is essential for mastering procedural skills. Evidence from rehabilitation and industrial training contexts suggests that direct interaction with physical objects can enhance motor recall more effectively than simulated feedback alone.

Despite the promising applications of both VR and AV, there remains a gap in research directly comparing these modalities in terms of their impact on cognitive load, skill retention, and user experience. Given the critical role of kinesthetic memory in procedural learning, understanding how simulated and tangible feedback influence these factors is essential for optimizing training environments. This study aims to bridge this gap, offering a comparative analysis to inform the development of more effective, evidence-based training systems across various scientific and professional domains.

Research Issue and Problem Statement

The primary research objective is to compare the effectiveness of VR with simulated haptic feedback versus AV with direct tactile interaction in procedural training. Key research questions include:

- How do these approaches impact cognitive load during complex tasks?
- Which method more effectively supports skill acquisition and long-term retention, particularly regarding kinesthetic memory?
- How do users perceive immersion, realism, and usability across these training environments?

Addressing these questions will contribute to understanding the relative benefits of simulated versus tangible feedback in immersive training, offering guidance for optimizing training systems in professional contexts.

Research Milestones

1. Comprehensive Literature Review: Analyze current research on VR and AV with haptic feedback, focusing on kinesthetic memory's role in procedural learning to identify gaps and challenges.
2. System Development and Prototyping: Design and implement a VR training platform with force-feedback devices and an AV system integrating tracked physical objects.
3. Experimental Design: Conduct controlled experiments using metrics like the NASA Task Load Index (NASA-TLX) for cognitive load, alongside performance measures (accuracy, speed, error rates) and physiological data (EEG, heart rate variability).
4. Data Collection and Analysis: Recruit participants, execute experimental protocols, and analyze quantitative and qualitative data to evaluate training effectiveness and user experience.
5. Dissemination of Findings: Publish research in peer-reviewed journals and present at conferences focused on immersive technologies and training. Develop guidelines for integrating haptic feedback in procedural training systems.

This research seeks to provide an evidence-based comparison of VR and AV training systems, offering valuable insights for developing more effective, user-centered, and cognitively efficient training solutions in diverse professional fields.

References

A Frihi, Bob Antoine J Ménélas . *“From Surveys to TV Series: Study of the Role of Haptic in Social Interaction”* - VISIGRAPP (1): GRAPP, HUCAPP, IVAPP, 2024

Michael WEBER, Tobias WEISS, Franck GECHTER, Reiner KRIESTEN. *“Approach for improved development of advanced driver assistance systems for future smart mobility concepts”*. In the Autonomous Robots, Autonomous Intelligent Systems. vol. 3, n. 123,

pp. 14. DOI: [10.1007/s43684-023-00047-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s43684-023-00047-5). ISSN: 1573-7527. Springer. Scimago: Q1, WoS: Q3, IF:3.255. February, 2023.

Michael WEBER, Tobias WEISS, Franck GECHTER, Reiner KRIESTEN. “*Mapping of a Low-Textured Environment Using Visual Simultaneous Localization and Mapping to Use Augmented Reality Simulation for Testing Advanced Driver Assistance Systems in Future Automotive Vehicles*”. In the Advances on Systems and Measurements. vol. 16, n. 1, pp. 53-65. ISSN: 1942-261x. IARIA. 2023.

Frédéric Banville, Daniel Milhomme, Annie Perron, Josyane Pinard, Sylvie CHARETTE, Bob-Antoine MÉNÉLAS, Mylène TRÉPANIÉ, Stéphane BOUCHARD, “Using Virtual Reality to Improve Nurses’ Students’ Clinical Surveillance in a Critical Care Context: A Psychological Perspective on Learning”, ANNUAL REVIEW OF CYBERTHERAPY AND TELEMEDICINE 2023

Julien BARBIER, Franck GECHTER, Sylvain GROSDÉMOUGE. “*Augmented Reality Application for HoloLens Dedicated to the Accuracy Test: Evolution and Results*”. In the 13th International Conference on Applied Human Factors and Ergonomics (AHFE-22). vol. 51. Eds. Kalra, Jay and Lightner, Nancy. DOI: [10.54941/ahfe1002097](https://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1002097). AHFE. Series: Healthcare and Medical Devices. 2022.

Michael WEBER, Tobias WEISS, Franck GECHTER, Reiner KRIESTEN. “*Augmented Reality Simulation for Testing Advanced Driver Assistance Systems in Future Automotive Vehicles*”. In the 14th IEEE International Conference on Advances in System Simulation (SIMUL-22). vol. 14, n. 5, pp. 29-34. Eds. Frank Herrmann. IEEE. Lisbon, Portugal. October, 2022.

Prem CHAND, Pierre ROMET, Franck GECHTER, El-Hassane AGLZIM. “*A mixed reality simulator for an autonomous delivery system using platooning*”. In the 13th IEEE International Conference on Advances in System Simulation (SIMUL-21). IEEE. 2021.

Barbara SCHIAVI, Franck GECHTER, Céline GECHTER, Albert RIZZO. “*Teach Me A Story : an Augmented Reality Application for Teaching History in middle school*”. In the IEEE Virtual Reality Conference (VR-18). DOI: [10.1109/VR.2018.8446412](https://doi.org/10.1109/VR.2018.8446412). IEEE. 2018.